# **Congestion Control in CSMA-based Vehicular Networks: Do Not Forget the Carrier Sensing**

Razvan Stanica, Emmanuel Chaput, André-Luc Beylot Institut National Polytechnique de Toulouse

IEEE 9th Annual Conference on Sensor, Mesh and Ad Hoc Communications and Networks (SECON)

Seoul – 21 June 2012







- **Gamma** Safety Communications in Vehicular Networks
- Types of Losses for Vehicular Beacons
- **Given Safety Range CSMA**
- Protocol Evaluation
- **Conclusion & Future Work**

**Razvan Stanica** 

1

University of Toulouse

**SECON 2012** 

VANET Congestion Control: Do Not Forget the Carrier Sensing

21.06.2012

#### VANET objective: Building an accurate image of the exterior world



**Cooperative Awareness Message (CAM)** 

Decentralised Environmental Notification (DEN)

Safety V2V	Types of Losses	Safety Range CSMA	Protocol Evaluation
Razvan Stanica	University	University of Toulouse	
2 V.	2 VANET Congestion Control: Do Not Forget the Carrier Sensing		

#### **Safety Messages**

**Cooperative Awareness Message (CAM)** 

- Periodic
- Position, speed, direction, steering angle ...
- ETSI TS 102 868

**Decentralised Environmental Notification (DEN)** 

- Special events
- Hazard location, type, dissemination area ...
- ETSI TS 102 869

Safety V2V	/	Types of Losses	Safety Range CSMA	Protocol Evaluation
Razvan Stanica		University	v of Toulouse	<b>SECON 2012</b>
3	VANE	T Congestion Control: Do No	21.06.2012	

### Safety beaconing

- **Broadcast mode no exposed terminals**
- **A** beacon expires if the next CAM is produced
- Practically no internal contention on the CCH
- MAC delay automatically considered in the expiration probability
- Metrics of interest: reception probability, number of undetected neighbours

Safety V2V	Types of Losses	Safety Range CSMA	Protocol Evaluation
Razvan Stanica	University of Toulouse		<b>SECON 2012</b>
4 VAN	4 VANET Congestion Control: Do Not Forget the Carrier Sensing		





VANET Congestion Control: Do Not Forget the Carrier Sensing

6

21.06.2012

# **Congestion Control**

- Beaconing Frequency problems in some scenarios (left turn assistant)
- Data Rate questioned by field tests
- **Transmission Power included in SR-CSMA**
- **Contention Window included in SR-CSMA**

Safety V2V	Types of Losses	Safety Range CSMA	Protocol Evaluation
Razvan Stanic	u University	University of Toulouse	
6	VANET Congestion Control: Do No	21.06.2012	

Why are safety messages lost?

Propagation	n Problems		
L Expired Bea	acons		
Collisions			
Synchr	onized Transmissions		
Hidder	n Nodes		
Safety V2V	Types of Losses	Safety Range CSMA	Protocol Evaluation
Razvan Stanica	University	of Toulouse	<b>SECON 2012</b>
7 VANE	T Congestion Control: Do No	t Forget the Carrier Sensing	g 21.06.2012

Why are safety messages lost? Propagation Problems Expired Beacons **Collisions** Synchronized Transmissions **Hidden Nodes Types of Losses** Safety V2V **Safety Range CSMA Protocol Evaluation Razvan Stanica University of Toulouse SECON 2012** VANET Congestion Control: Do Not Forget the Carrier Sensing 21.06.2012 7





# Safety Range CSMA

- More neighbours longer back-off
- □ More neighbours more expired beacons
- □ More neighbours more collisions

Safety V2	2V	Types of Losses	Safety Range CSMA	Protocol Evaluation
Razvan Stanica University of Toulouse		<b>SECON 2012</b>		
9 VANET Congestion Control: Do Not Forget the Carrier Sensing			21.06.2012	

#### Safety Range CSMA

- More neighbours longer back-off
- □ More neighbours more expired beacons
- □ More neighbours more collisions

# Collisions can not be avoided under high node density

Safety V2	V	Types of Losses	Safety Range CSMA	Protocol Evaluation
Razvan Stanica University of Toulouse		<b>SECON 2012</b>		
9 VANET Congestion Control: Do Not Forget the Carrier Sensing			21.06.2012	

Safety V2V	Types of Losses	Safety Range CSMA	Protocol Evaluation
Razvan Stanica	University	v of Toulouse	<b>SECON 2012</b>
10 VAN	IET Congestion Control: Do No	ot Forget the Carrier Sensing	21.06.2012





**Another transmission detected – estimate the position of the transmitter** 

**Cross layer approach (PLCP – MAC)** 

Safety V2V	V	Types of Losses	Safety Range CSMA	Protocol Evaluation
Razvan Stanica University of Toulouse				<b>SECON 2012</b>
11 VANET Congestion Control: Do Not Forget the Carrier Sensing			21.06.2012	



Estimate if a collision could be solved by the capture effect inside the two safety ranges

Safety V2V	Types of Losses	Safety Range CSMA	Protocol Evaluation
Razvan Star	lica Universi	ty of Toulouse	<b>SECON 2012</b>
12	VANET Congestion Control: Do N	21.06.2012	



Collision

One of the two safety zones is not safe, regardless of the used transmission power – back-off (IEEE 802.11 approach)

Safety V2V	7	Types of Losses	Safety Range CSMA	<b>Protocol Evaluation</b>
Razvan Stanica University of Toulouse		<b>SECON 2012</b>		
13 VANET Congestion Control: Do Not Forget the Carrier Sensing			21.06.2012	



The safety zones are safe – transmit (using the highest transmission power that keeps them safe)

Safety V2V	Types of Losses	Safety Range CSMA	<b>Protocol Evaluation</b>
Razvan Stanica University of Toulouse		<b>SECON 2012</b>	
14 VANET Congestion Control: Do Not Forget the Carrier Sensing			21.06.2012









Increased spatial reuse
Higher (but manageable) interference

□ More transmission opportunities

More collisions at far distances
Increased reception probability inside SR

Safety V2V		Types of Losses	Safety Range CSMA	Protocol Evaluation
Razvan Stanica		University of Toulouse		<b>SECON 2012</b>
15 VANET Congestion Control: Do Not Forget the Carrier Sensing			21.06.2012	

**Reverse Back-off Mechanism** 

- Can not detect collisions
- **We can detect expired beacons**
- Relatively high initial CW (e.g. 127)
- $\Box$  CW = CW/2 after every expired beacon
- **CW** goes back to the initial value after N beacons

Safety V2V	7	Types of Losses	Safety Range CSMA	Protocol Evaluation
Razvan Stanica		University of Toulouse		<b>SECON 2012</b>
16 VANET Congestion Control: Do Not Forget the Carrier Sensing			21.06.2012	

# **Reception Probability inside the Safety Range**



#### **Consecutive Lost Beacons**



### **Loss Reasons Distribution**

propagation



# Conclusion

□ The communication range in IEEE 802.11p is too long under high density

**Collisions are unavoidable (the load is larger than 1)** 

**Collisions with close neighbours have deeper consequences** 

General Force collisions with vehicles situated farther away to increase spatial reuse

Razvan Stanica University of Toulouse		<b>SECON 2012</b>
20	VANET Congestion Control: Do Not Forget the Carrier Sensing	21.06.2012

**Future Work** 

Study of Special Notifications

□ Impact of ranging techniques

Implementation on real hardware

Razvan Star	nica University of Toulouse	<b>SECON 2012</b>
21	VANET Congestion Control: Do Not Forget the Carrier Sensing	21.06.2012

# **Congestion Control in CSMA-based Vehicular Networks: Do Not Forget the Carrier Sensing**

Razvan Stanica, Emmanuel Chaput, André-Luc Beylot Institut National Polytechnique de Toulouse

IEEE 9th Annual Conference on Sensor, Mesh and Ad Hoc Communications and Networks (SECON)

Seoul – 21 June 2012





